

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,723	04/04/2001	Paul Waxelbaum	1125	3174
7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Alan Israel, Esq. Kirschstein, Ottinger, Israel & Schiffmiller, PC			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
489 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017-6105			2876	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/825,723	WAXELBAUM, PAU	IL			
navicery rieden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply high places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejecting E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The approperture of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final reject	Office action; or ction, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance because a new angle of the condition for allowance and the condition for all the conditions are not all the conditions and the condition for all the conditions are not all the conditions and the condition for all the conditions are not al	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been considerated.	dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ر(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	41/				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		MICHAEL OF LEE RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER 2				

ì

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly cited limitation citing "including enabling the mobile sender to operate the terminal and manually enter data in the interface" would prompt further consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 13-20 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 1203.